

Combined counselling and low level laser stimulation effectiveness in the treatment of disturbing chronic tinnitus

Domenico Cuda MD, Antonio De Caria MD

Department of Otolaryngology, Guglielmo da Saliceto Hospital, Piacenza, Italy

INTRODUCTION

Tinnitus is the perception of a “phantom” sound which does not actually exist (Jastreboff, 1990) [1]. It is an often disabling symptom of different disorders affecting the auditory system and it can be associated with a wide range of pathological conditions. Tinnitus must be distinguished from real auditory hallucination which is a symptom of psychiatric or neurological disorders.

The patient’s ability to tolerate tinnitus varies; those patients who seek treatment have not been able to develop the habit of living with the symptom. It is generally thought that most of the people who seek treatment for "auditory buzzing" benefit simply from clinical examination and from the doctor’s reassurance about the benign nature of the symptom. Yet, for those needing further treatment, there are several different therapeutic approaches available today which are usually multidisciplinary. These are specific treatments, aimed to reduce the negative effects of the disorder; however only in rare cases treatment leads to complete or disappearance of tinnitus.

The present work was carried out with the objective of assessing in a perspective and controlled way the effectiveness of two different therapeutic strategies for which preliminary effectiveness tests gave conflicting results. The combined strategies are: counselling and low-level laser stimulation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Recruitment criteria

Fourty-six adult patients (range 28-83 years; mean 56.4, sd 13.7) who consecutively sought treatment from the Authors at the tinnitus clinic of the Guglielmo da Saliceto Hospital in Piacenza were studied. Twenty-seven of them were male, while 19 were female. The recruitment criteria were the following: patients were adults suffering for at least 3 months for disturbing non-intermittent subjective tinnitus. Whereas patients with frank pre-existing psychiatric pathology (psychosis, major depression, etc.), those with “retrocochlear” pathology (acoustic neurinoma, etc.) and cases involving medical-legal disputes related to tinnitus were excluded. Recruited patients received detailed information about the objectives of the trial, its possible benefits and its potential risks. All recruited patients signed an informed consent form on the trial.

Randomization

The patients were randomly subdivided into two different groups. One was subject to low-level laser stimulation (LLS+ or experimental group); The other was defined ‘LLS –‘ (control group). Patients of both groups were all treated with the same combined counselling protocol. So the experimental group (LLS+) received combined counselling and low-level laser stimulation while the control group just the combined counselling; the faked stimulation device used by this group appeared identical to the active one, but it had no laser emission (placebo). Randomization was carried out by one of the authors, but the real kind of stimulation applied was not revealed to the second author until assessment of the whole population was completed.

Low-level laser stimulation (LLS+)

Patients of the experimental group received a device emitting laser cold light (TinniTool EarLaser[®], DisMark GmbH, Maur, Switzerland). The emission power was 5mW and the wavelength was 650nm. The system was composed by an emitting body equipped with a probe to be placed at the entrance of the external auditory canal from where the laser ray was directed towards the ear-drum. For more comfort during therapy, the laser was coupled to a wearable ear hook. Patients were trained to use the device for 20 minutes a day, every day for three months.

The placebo group patients received a device identical to the active one in terms of appearance and weight; since the laser irradiation does not cause any sensation, it was impossible for any patient to detect the real nature of the stimulation received. The directions for use were the same given to group LLS+.

Combined counselling

Each patient was subject to the same standardized protocol of combined counselling. This envisaged 10 sessions of 40 minutes each regularly distributed during the three-months treatment. Combined counselling envisaged the combination of two different approaches: a cognitive and a psychosomatic approach. Cognitive counselling was based on the Tinnitus Retraining Therapy principles (Jastreboff, 1996 [2]; Hazell, 1998 [3]). The objective was to promote habituation and thus eliminate the problem, by removing any negative association to tinnitus. It comprised exhaustive discussions about the causes and the meaning of tinnitus, as well as about the anatomy-physiology of ears and auditory pathways in order to understand and demystify the symptom.

The second component of combined counselling – i.e. the psychosomatic approach – focused on the particular emotional state of the patient and comes from the combination of hypnotic techniques with relaxation techniques based on respiration, proprioception and insight (Colombo & De Caria 2003 [4]). The stress-causing factors and the reactive reflexes associated to them are identified. The objective is to rehabilitate the cerebral centre responsible for the perception of the trouble by favouring filtering and blocking of the signal causing tinnitus. The hypnotic techniques used are those described by Milton H. Erickson (1992) [5], aimed at developing higher ability to handle the symptom by increasing the patient's reactive potential and by causing a "change" in the response to the symptom. The objective of hypnosis is to change the patient's behaviour, sensorial responses and state of conscience by providing new ways of thinking, feeling and acting about the symptom. In order to meet these objectives, different resources by Erickson, such as metaphors and direct hypnosis, have been used. Moreover, relaxation techniques described by Jakobson (1952) [6] were used. This method consists in letting the patient contract muscle segments or groups and then letting them progressively relax in a variable period of time. These exercises are effective in patients who are scarcely aware of their body and their tonic response.

Outcome indicators

Patients were subject to a general otological evaluation comprising medical history, otomicroscopy, audiometry and impedance audiometry. If necessary for diagnostic purposes ABR, ENG, MRI and OAE tests were carried out. Moreover, for descriptive purposes, tinnitus tests were performed (loudness and pitch match, minimum masking level). The Tinnitus Handicap Inventory (THI), developed by Newman et al (1996) [7], was submitted to patients at the beginning and at the end of the treatment. The THI score was considered as rough scores (from 0 to 100) and as class of severity. Classes of severity are codified as follows (Cuda 2004) [8]: slight tinnitus (THI score 0-25), medium (score 26-50), severe (score 51-75) and catastrophic (76-100).

Data analysis

Descriptive statistics for all variables were drawn up. As to the differences between the groups, the analysis of the variance (ANOVA) was performed for continuous variables, while for single variables a chi-square analysis was performed. Analysis was conducted through a statistics programme (SPSS14).

RESULTS

Description of the sample

Tinnitus was localized in the right ear in 7 patients (15.2%), in the left ear in 10 (21.8%) while it was bilateral in 29 (63%). The symptom had been present since 3 months to 45 years (mean 6.4 sd 8.8).

From an audiometric point of view, 7 patients had normal hearing (15.2%) and 21 (45.7%) had a slight sensorineural hearing loss (PTA 0.5-4 KHz < 30 dB). 60.9% of patients had therefore no clinically significant hearing impairment while the other cases were defined as follows: slight to moderate hearing loss (PTA 31-40 dB) in 6 patients (13%), moderate hearing loss (PTA 41-60 dB) in 8 (17.4%), moderate to severe (PTA 61-80 dB) in 1 patient (2.2%) and severe (PTA > 81 dB) in 3 patients (6.5%). No patient had conductive or mixed loss. All patients affected by significant hearing loss regularly wore air-conduction hearing aids.

The mean loudness was 6.1 dB SL (s.d. 4.9) The mean Pitch estimation was 4700 Hz (range 1000-12000; s.e. 2700); the minimum masking level was 12.8 dB (sd 8.9).

The pre-treatment THI score was 49.1 (range: 8-94; sd: 22.6). The disorder classification was as follows: Slight tinnitus 9 (19.6%), Medium 16 (34.8%), Serious 12 (26.1%), Catastrophic 9 (19.6%).

Twenty patients were assigned to the “LLS-” group and 26 to the “LLS+” group. All patients completed the treatment protocol.

Differences between groups

- Whole sample

No patient presented with deterioration or other significant changes in the audiometric threshold at the end of the trial. Moreover, no otomicroscopic lesion of the auditory canals or eardrums was observed.

The audiometric characteristics of the tinnitus were unchanged after treatment: loudness match 5.3 dB (sd 5.2; NS), pitch match 4200 Hz (sd 3200, NS), minimum masking level 10.8 dB (sd 10.1; NS).

At the end of the treatment, the average THI score was 36.2 (range 8-84; sd. 19.9). Tinnitus was graded as slight (14 cases; 30.4%); medium (21 cases; 45.7%); severe (9 cases; 19.6%); catastrophic (2 cases; 4.3%). The difference between pre- and post-treatment THI score was statistically significant ($t=2.882$; $p=0.005$). In terms of THI grading the pre-post treatment differences were also statistically significant (Chi2-test; $p<.01$).

- LLS- vs LLS+

A synthesis of the statistical analysis for continuous variables is shown in table I. The age was different in the two subgroups in a statistically significant way ($p<.000$): in the experimental group LLS+ it was inferior than LLS- group (50.3 vs 64.4). The duration of tinnitus, the pre- and post-treatment THI scores did not significantly differ between the two subgroups. On the contrary, the differences between the pre-treatment THI and the post-treatment THI (deltaTHI) scores were statistically higher in the experimental group LLS+ in comparison with LLS- (17.1 vs 7.3) ($p<.05$).

Table I

analysis of variance (ANOVA) relative to continuous variables in a group of patients with disturbing tinnitus undergoing treatment with counselling and low-level laser (LLS+) or only counselling (LLS-)

		n	Mean	sd	min	max	F	Sig.
age	LLS-	20	64,4	14,1	28	83	15,9	,000
	LLS+	26	50,3	9,8	31	76		
	Total	46	56,4	13,6	28	83		
duration	LLS-	20	8,4	10,6	,3	45	1,9	,170
	LLS+	26	4,8	6,8	,3	26		
	Tot	46	6,4	8,8	,3	45		
THIpre	LLS-	20	43,1	22,1	8	86	2,5	,117
	LLS+	26	53,6	22,3	14	94		
	Tot	46	49,1	22,6	8	94		
THIpost	LLS-	20	35,8	18,9	8	74	,02	,893
	LLS+	26	36,6	21,1	10	84		
	Tot	46	36,2	19,9	8	84		
deltaTHI	LLS-	20	7,3	15,4	-20	42	4,1	,040
	LLS+	26	17,1	16,7	-18	48		
	Tot	46	12,8	16,7	-20	48		

Intergroup differences (LLS- and LLS+) between nominal variables were analyzed with the Chi²-test. No statistically significant difference for tinnitus side, auditory threshold class and tinnitus classification was found.

In the fig. 1 pre-post differences of tinnitus classification are shown. Taking into consideration the total population, the tinnitus grading remained unchanged in 18 cases (39.2%), improved in 23 cases (50%) and precisely, improved by one grade in 19 cases (41.3%) and by two grades in 4 cases (8.7%). Only in 5 cases (10.87%) there was a one grade worsening. In the placebo group the grading remained unchanged in 9 cases (45%), while it improved in 7 (35%); a one grade improvement in 6 cases (30%) and a two grades improvement in 1 (5%); the grade worsened in 4 cases (20%). In the experimental group there was an overall improvement in 16 cases (61.6%) and precisely, by one grade in 13 cases (50%) and by two grades in 3 cases (11.6%); there was a worsening in one case (3.8%) and the remaining 9 cases (34.6%) remained unchanged.




Figure 1: differences post-pre-treatment in terms of tinnitus severity grades. The negative sign indicates grade worsening, 0 indicates that the grade is unchanged, +1 and +2 indicate an improvement by one or two grades respectively. LLS+: patients treated with active laser. LLS-: placebo group. Tot: entire group.

DISCUSSION

In the present study a non-selected group of patients affected by chronic disturbing tinnitus was treated with a combined counselling method integrating hypnotherapeutic and muscle relaxation techniques. The THI score, the main tinnitus severity index here considered, was significantly reduced after treatment while audiometric characteristics of tinnitus were unchanged. The effectiveness of counselling on the treatment of tinnitus is already known (Jastreboff, 1996 [2]; Hazell, 1998 [3]). The effect of the various relaxation techniques in patients suffering from chronic tinnitus was assessed in a number of controlled trials (Kirsch et al. 1987 [9]; Davies et al. 1995 [10]; Kroner-Herwig et al. 1995 [11]; Winter et al. 1996 [12]; Dineen et al. 1997 [13]); although there is no final proof of their effectiveness on the perception of the tinnitus, a reduction in the symptoms associated with stress and anxiety – and thus an improvement in the acceptance of the symptoms – was observed. The effect of hypnosis was documented in different studies (Marks et al. 1985 [14], Attias et al. 1993 [15], Mason et al. 1996 [16], Kaje et al. 1994 [17], Ross et al. 2007 [18]) sometimes with conflicting results but with a general trend towards higher tolerance to symptoms by those involved in the research.

In our study, counselling and hypnotherapy had generally a good effect on the whole group observed. Relaxation techniques were used also at home, in difficult moments and to help getting to sleep which is a critical moment for patients affected by tinnitus because the perception of sounds in the ear is facilitated by silence. Elderly patients showed diligence and understanding to the therapeutic program. They enjoyed increasing care for their body, rediscovering it and finding new strategies to reduce concomitant physical troubles (dyspnoea, muscle-tension pain due to arthritis). Having more spare time, they could exercise at home more constantly. Regular exercise became an important part of their daily life and led to positive thinking. The chance to talk was perceived by them as a sign of care which balanced the lack of affection often showed to the elderly. The opportunity to know that they could benefit from a therapy which could help them to relax and observe the single elements which compose their pathological "syndrome" acts as a tranquillizer: as a matter of fact, the fragmentation of symptoms reduces their impact.

The second conclusion of our study is a significant difference in the benefit of treatment between group LLS+ and group LLS-. Although the random anomalous distribution of age – which determined the group subject to laser stimulation to be younger than the placebo group – must be taken into consideration (the sampling procedure did not take into account the age of the patients), this result must be traced back to the different stimulation applied; no other difference was revealed between the subgroups LLS+ and LLS-, as far as the characteristics of the population were concerned, such as the duration of symptoms, the distribution according to hearing loss levels, tinnitus side or perceived pre-treatment seriousness. Therefore, 61.6% of the patients treated with laser improved by at least one class compared to 35% of the placebo group (fig.1). The average improvement in terms of THI score was 17.1 vs 7.3.

The results of low-level laser stimulation in the literature, are rather contradictory. For example, Partheniadis-Stumpf et al. (1993) [19] reported an improvement in 3 cases, yet 5 were uncertain as to the results of the treatment and in 20 cases tinnitus remained unchanged. In their trial, however, the administration of a drug (Tebonin) was combined with a stimulation protocol which envisaged twelve laser applications on the top of the mastoid bone of 10 minutes each. The trial lasted three weeks and the success criterion was based only on patients' judgement. Therefore, the protocol cannot be compared to that of the present work. Shiomi et al (1997) [20] used a transmeatal stimulation, though more powerful than that of the present trial (40mW with a wavelength of 830 nm). The protocol used by the Authors envisaged irradiation for 9 minutes a week for 10 or more times. The 28 patients recruited for the trial had to give a score to their symptoms on a 5-point scale

before and after the end of the treatment. Fifty-five and 58% presented with an improvement in loudness and annoyance level. So the Authors conclude that laser therapy deserves being tried on patients affected by untreatable tinnitus. Instead, the trial by Mirz et al. (1999a) [21] led to opposite conclusions. This was a clinical controlled trial envisaging a 50 mW laser stimulation (wavelength 830 nm) and a placebo group. The protocol envisaged the transmeatal irradiation for 10 minutes a session. No statistically significant difference was revealed between the placebo and the active group in the 49 patients recruited as to a series of indicators including VAS for loudness, annoyance and attention, as well as score THI. Similar results were described by Nakashima et al (2002) [22]. The irradiation was transmeatal also in this case and the power was 60-mW. It was supplied for 6 minutes once a week for four weeks. The result indicators considered were based on a questionnaire submitted to 45 recruited patients. Between the active and the placebo group no differences were reported in terms of loudness, duration, quality and annoyance of tinnitus. Moreover, a patient receiving irradiation presented with acute hearing deterioration after the third irradiation.

Unlike Nakashima et al (2002)[22] results, no cases of deterioration of the auditory threshold were reported in our sample. Also in this case, it must be underlined that our protocol was different using lower power for longer time (5 mW for 20 minutes). The importance of technical aspects was emphasized by Tauber et al. (2003)[23] who have been able to verify positive effects in a sample of 38 patients affected by chronic tinnitus through an appropriate control of dosimetric variables. Also, in this trial power emissions were lower than those used in the trials mentioned above (7.8 e 20 nW). Gungor et al. (2007) [24] carried out a controlled trial with an application protocol similar to that of the present trial. The laser power was 5 mW and the wavelength was 650 nm. It was transmeatal and applied for 15 minutes a day for a week. A questionnaire was submitted to the 45 recruited patients where the symptoms were classified according to a 5-point scale before and after the treatment. Tinnitus loudness, duration and annoyance level improved respectively in 48.8, 57.7 and 55.5% of the patients with active laser, whereas in the placebo group no significant change was reported. Indirect support to the conclusions of the trial comes from a recent study carried out by Siedentopf et al. (2007) [25] who documented – through functional MRI – the activation of different cerebral areas (left superior frontal gyrus, right middle frontal gyrus and right superior parietal lobe) through low-level transmeatal laser stimulation. The placebo application, randomly alternated to laser stimulation and indistinguishable by the patient, did not determine any neurological activation. Surprisingly the activated cerebral areas correspond to those affected in tinnitus patients, as shown by PET surveys (Lockwood et al. 1998 [26]; Mirz et al. 1999b [27]). The low-level laser action mechanism is biophysiological rather than thermal (Rochkind et al 1988 [28]). The primary site of neuronal absorption is represented by mitochondria with consequent release from cytoplasm of H⁺ protons. The cellular membrane permeability for Na and K is reduced with consequent reduction in action potential (Karu, 1988) [29]. The effect inhibiting the action potential was shown through a trial after laser stimulation of the cochlea through the round window (Shiomi et al. 1997) [20]. Another postulated mechanism is the increase of blood flow (Schaffer et al. 2000) [30] due to sympathetic neural inhibition. However, there are also other possible different mechanisms, such as an increase in cell proliferation (Hans et al. 1992) [31], ATP synthesis (Passarella et al. 1984) [32] and collagen (Reddy et al. 1998) [33].

The limited sample studied (in any case limited to tens of patients) and the differences in application protocols do not allow a careful comparison with other studies. The results of our trial should therefore be confirmed through a larger multicentric controlled clinical trial with an accurate definition of the indicators, although preliminary data suggest a favourable effect of low level laser stimulation in the otologist's therapeutic equipment.

REFERENCES

1. Jastreboff PJ: Phantom auditory perception (tinnitus): Mechanisms of generation and perception. *Neurosc Res* 8(4):221-54, 1990.
2. Jastreboff PJ, Gray WC, Gold SL: Neurophysiological approach to tinnitus patients. *Am J Otol* 17(2):236-40, 1996.
3. Hazell JW: Tinnitus: Psychosomatic aspects of complex chronic tinnitus (Occurrence, Effects, Diagnosis and Treatment). In: Goebel G. (Ed), London: Quintessence Publishing Co, 1998.
4. Colombo C, De Caria A: Considerazioni e innovazioni nel trattamento degli acufeni con tecniche di rilassamento profondo e "sound generators". *Rivista Medica Italiana di Psicoterapia e Ipnosi* XV(1):105-128, 2003.
5. Erickson MH: *Creative Choice in Hypnosis (The Seminars, Workshops and Lectures of Milton H. Erickson)*. New York: Irvington Publishers, 1992.
6. Jacobson E: Neuromuscular controls in man: methods of self direction in health and in disease. *Am J Psychol* 68(4):549-61, 1955.
7. Newman CW, Jacobson GP, Spitzer JB: Development of the Tinnitus Handicap Inventory. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 122:143-8, 1996.
8. Cuda D: Acufeni: diagnosi e terapia. *Quaderni Monografici di Aggiornamento*. Roma: Edizioni A.O.O.I., 2004. <http://www.aooi.it/acufe.pdf>
9. Kirsch CA, Blanchard EB, Parnes SM: A multiple-baseline evaluation of the treatment of subjective tinnitus with relaxation training and biofeedback. *Biofeedback Self Regul* 12(4):295-312, 1987.
10. Davies S, McKenna L, Hallam R: Relaxation and cognitive therapy: a controlled trial in chronic tinnitus. *Psychology & Health* 10:129-143, 1995.
11. Kröner-Herwig B, Hebing G, van Rijn-Kalkmann U, Frenzel A, Schilkowsky G, Esser G: The management of chronic tinnitus--comparison of a cognitive-behavioural group training with yoga. *J Psychosom Res* 39(2):153-65, 1995.
12. Winter B, Nieschalk M, Stoll W: Effects of relaxation therapy as group and individual treatment of chronic tinnitus. *Psychother Psychosom Med Psychol* 46(3-4):147-52, 1996.
13. Dineen R, Doyle J, Bench J: Managing tinnitus: a comparison of different approaches to tinnitus management training. *Br J Audiol* 31(5):331-44, 1997.
14. Marks NJ, Karl H, Onisiphorou C: A controlled trial of hypnotherapy in tinnitus. *Clin Otolaryngol Allied Sci* 10(1):43-6, 1985.
15. Attias J, Shemesh Z, Sohmer H, Gold S, Shoham C, Faraggi D. Comparison between self-hypnosis, masking and attentiveness for alleviation of chronic tinnitus. *Audiology* 32(3):205-12, 1993.

16. Mason JD, Rogerson DR, Butler JD: Client centred hypnotherapy in the management of tinnitus--is it better than counselling? *J Laryngol Otol* 110(2):117-20, 1996.
17. Kaye JM, Marlowe FI, Ramchandani D, Berman S, Schindler B, Loscalzo G: Hypnosis as an aid for tinnitus patients. *Ear Nose Throat J* 73(5):309-12, 315, 1994.
18. Ross UH, Lange O, Unterrainer J, Laszig R: Ericksonian hypnosis in tinnitus therapy: effects of a 28-day inpatient multimodal treatment concept measured by Tinnitus-Questionnaire and Health Survey SF-36. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 264(5): 483-8, 2007.
19. Partheniadis-Stumpf M, Maurer J, Mann W: Soft laser therapy in combination with tebonin i.v. in tinnitus. *Laryngorhinootologie* 72(1):28-31, 1993.
20. Shiomi Y, Takahashi H, Honjo I, Kojima H, Naito Y, Fujiki N. Efficacy of transmeatal low power laser irradiation on tinnitus: a preliminary report. *Auris Nasus Larynx*. 1997;24(1):39-42.
21. Mirz F, Pedersen B, Ishizu K, Johannsen P, Ovesen T, Stodkilde-Jorgensen H, Gjedde A. Positron emission tomography of cortical centers of tinnitus, *Hear Res*. 134 (1-2) (1999) 133-144.
22. Nakashima T, Ueda H, Misawa H, Suzuki T, Tominaga M, Ito A, Numata S, Kasai S, Asahi K, Vernon JA, Meikle MB. Transmeatal low-power laser irradiation for tinnitus. *Otol Neurotol*. 2002 May;23(3):296-300.
23. Tauber S, Schorn K, Beyer W, Baumgartner R. Transmeatal cochlear laser (TCL) treatment of cochlear dysfunction: a feasibility study for chronic tinnitus. *Lasers Med Sci*. 2003;18(3):154-61.
24. Gungor A, Dogru S, Cincik H, Erkul E, Poyrazoglu E. Effectiveness of transmeatal low power laser irradiation for chronic tinnitus. *J Laryngol Otol*. 2007;12:1-5
25. Siedentopf CM, Ischebeck A, Haala IA, Mottaghy FM, Schikora D, Verius M, Koppelstaetter F, Buchberger W, Schlager A, Felber SR, Golaszewski SM. Neural correlates of transmeatal cochlear laser (TCL) stimulation in healthy human subjects. *Neurosci Lett*. 2007 Jan 16;411(3):189-93.
26. Lockwood AH, Salvi RJ, Coad ML, Towsley ML, Wack DS, Murphy BW. The functional neuroanatomy of tinnitus: Evidence for limbic system links and neural plasticity, *Neurology* 51 (2) (1998) 647-648.
27. Mirz F, Zachariae R, Andersen SE, Nielsen AG, Johansen LV, Bjerring P, Pedersen CB. The low-power laser in the treatment of tinnitus. *Clin Otolaryngol Allied Sci*. 1999 Aug;24(4):346-54
28. Rochkind S, Nissan M, Lubart R, et al. The in-vivo-nerve response to direct low-energy-laser irradiation. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)* 1988; 94:74-7.
29. Karu T. Molecular mechanism of the therapeutic effect of low-intensity laser irradiation, *Lasers Life Sci*. 2 (1988) 53-74.

30. Schaffer M, Bonel H, Sroka R, et al. Effects of 780 nm diode laser irradiation on blood microcirculation: Preliminary findings on time-dependent T1-weighted contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). *J Photochem Photobiol B* 2000;54:55–60.
31. H.F.I. Hans, Breugel V, Bar D. Power density and exposure time of He–Ne laser irradiation are more important than total energy dose in photobiomodulation of human fibroblasts in vitro, *Lasers Surg. Med.* 12 (1992) 528–537
32. Passarella S, Casamassima E, Molinari S, Pastore E, Quagliaiello E, Catalano IM, Cingolani A. Increase of proton electrochemical potential and ATP synthesis in rat liver mitochondria irradiated in vitro by helium–neon laser, *FEBS Lett.* 175 (1984) 95–99.
33. Reddy GK, Stehno-Bittel L, Enwemeka CS. Laser photostimulation of collagen production in healing rabbit achilles tendons, *Lasers Surg. Med.* (1998) 281–287.

ABSTRACT

Forty-six adult patients affected by disturbing tinnitus present for at least 3 years were recruited. All of them were treated with a combined counselling protocol comprising hypnotherapeutic and muscle relaxation techniques. Twenty-six patients were randomly assigned to the group with low-level laser stimulation treatment and 20 to the placebo group. The laser power was 5mV and the wavelength 650nm. The irradiation lasted 20 minutes a day for three months. The THI questionnaire was submitted at the beginning and at the end of treatment. The THI scores improved in the entire sample after treatment but, more significantly in the group receiving to low-level laser stimulation. From the point of view of clinical classification, around 61% of irradiated patients improved tinnitus seriousness by one class in comparison to 35% of the placebo group.

Key Words: Tinnitus, soft laser therapy, TinniTool, Ericksonian hypnosis.